



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/620,544   | 07/21/2000     | Petro Estakhri       | 38979-11CPA2               | 2400             |  |  |
| 27728 75   | 590 06/30/2004 |                      | EXAMI                      | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| LAW OFFICES OF IMAM                                    |                |                      | BRAGDON, REGINALD GLENWOOD |                  |  |  |
| 111 N. MARKET STREET, SUITE 1010<br>SAN JOSE, CA 95113 |                |                      | ART UNIT                   | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| ,  |                |                      | 2188                       | 21               |  |  |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004    | <b>3</b>         |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

| Application No.   Application No.   C09820,544   EXAMINED ATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.   Extensions of time may be available under the production of 3 CFR 1.136(a), in over-this worker, may restly tembry filed after St (2) MONTH from the mailing date of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period of the mark of the communication and the communication of the communication and the        |  |  |  | $\circ$     |
|--|--|--|--|-------------|
| ## Examiner   Reginald G, Bregdon   2188    ## AT UNIX   AFT UNIX DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  **Period for Reply**  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  □ Electronic of time may be available under the proteions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled    □ If NO period for reply is pecified above, he macentum statistical period will apply and will expire SIX (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in the period of the reply is pecified above, he macentum statistical period will apply and will expire SIX (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in the period of the per     |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | A/          |
| Reginald G. Bragdon   2188    - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the pariod for reply specified doors is less then thisly (30) days, a reply within the abbidity minimum of thirty (30) days the considered timely.  If the pariod for reply specified doors is less then thisly (30) days, a reply within the abbidity minimum of thirty (30) days the considered timely.  If the pariod for reply specified doors is here then thisly (30) days, a reply within the abbidity minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely.  If the pariod for reply specified doors is here then thisly (30) days, a reply within the abbidity minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely.  If the pariod for reply specified doors is here then thisly (30) days, a reply within the abbidity of the days and will be considered timely.  If the pariod for reply specified doors is the standary series and application, a reply the timely filed, may reduce a reply seemed sparker than adjustment.  A price this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)  |  | 09/620,544   | ESTAKHRI ET AL.  | 91          |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the map by a evaluation where the proximism of 37 CRT 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thirty (20) days, an pay within the satisfactor printing within the satisfactor printing within the satisfactor printing within the satisfactor printing date of the communication.  Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by datalate, course the satisfactory relief will period with section to the common ARARCANED (30 U.S.C. § 133).  **Status**  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) ② 12 Tis/are pending in the application.  4) □ Claim(s) ② 13 sare allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) ③ 15 sare allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) ③ 15 sare allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) ③ 15 sare allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) ③ 15 sare subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 Is/are. al. □ accepted or bi □ objected to by the Examiner.  11 □ The proposed drawing correction filed on  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |             |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederacions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Ederacion of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Ederacion of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Ederacion of timely period above, the maximum datatory period will apply and will expire 30 K (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  File the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum datatory period will apply and will expire 30 K (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure in provision the second part of the provision of the maximum datatory period will apply and will expire 30 K (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce they consider the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce they consider a second part of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of talians  1) Exposition of Claims  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under fix parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) is/are pelocted.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a)  |  |  |  |             |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edecimical or time may be variable under the provision of 3 CPR.1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field offer SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  I the period for enally sealed and the sealed of the communication.  I the period for enally sealed and the sealed of the communication.  I the period for enally sealed and the sealed and the communication.  I the period for enally sealed and the sealed period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 139).  Any reply received by the Office let the there emonits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searched plant time adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.794(b).  Status  1)[2] Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 May 2004  2a)[2] This action is FINAL.  2b)[1] This action is non-final.  3)[2] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under £x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claim(s)  4b[2] Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5b[2] Claim(s) 2-17 is/are allowed.  6b[2] Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6b[2] Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7b[2] Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7b[2] Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7b[2] The drawing(s) filled on 21 July 2000 (s/are. a)[1] accepted or b[2] objected to by the Examiner.  7b[2] The drawing(s) filled on 21 July 2000 (s/are. a)[1] accepted or b[2] objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9b[2] The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a)[1] approved b[2] disapproved by the Examiner.  11c] The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)[1] approved b[2] disapproved by the Examiner.  11c] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  11d[2] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  11d[3] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 |  | pears on the cover sheet wit   | th the correspondence addre  | SS          |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)  | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirt<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON<br>, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication.  |
| 3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are epjected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTC-982)  21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC-152)   | 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 101  | <u>May 2004</u> .  |  |             |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   | 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th   | is action is non-final.  |  |             |
| 4)  Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b)  objected by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  | closed in accordance with the practice under   |  |  | nerits is   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filled on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  | · _  | 1  |  |             |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All   b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Aktnowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,  |  |  |             |
| 6) Claim(s) 2-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All   b) Some *c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Aktnowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 20 Notice of Pafeperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  50 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | , , <u> </u>   |  |  |             |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | <u> </u>   |  |  |             |
| 8  | <u> </u>   |  |  |             |
| 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.  |  |             |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | Application Papers   |  |  |             |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |             |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2000</u> is/are: a)   | ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected  | to by the Examiner.  |             |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |             |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | _ , ,, ,   | isapproved by the Examiner.  |             |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | _ ,,   | •  |  |             |
| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,—   | aminer.  |  |             |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | ,  |  |  |             |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | ,  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |             |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |             |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 1. Certified copies of the priority document   | s have been received.  |  |             |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 2. Certified copies of the priority document   | s have been received in A  | pplication No  |             |
| a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | application from the International Bu  | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  | ge          |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti   | ic priority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(e) (to a provisional ap  | plication). |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,  | • •  |  |             |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Attachment(s)  |  |  |             |
|  | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) Notice of I   |  |             |

Art Unit: 2188

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. Documents A1-A157 (of the IDS filed 27 February 2004) have not been considered since the PTO-1449 does not identify U.S. Patents by <u>inventor</u>. See MPEP 609(III)(A)(1). Instead, it appears that the assignee is listed in the PTO-1449.

2. Documents B1-B45 (of the IDS filed 27 February 2004) have not been considered since the PTO-1449 does not identify the foreign patent or published foreign patent application by country or patent office with issued the patent or published application. See MPEP 609(III)(A)(1).

Furthermore, there does not appear to be a concise explanation of the relevance of the following documents not in the English language (see MPEP 609(III)(A)(3)):

B12, B16, B17, B19, B20, and B22.

Documents C16, C17, and C18 have been crossed off the PTO-1449 filed 27 February 2004 since these are the same documents cited in C12, C11, and C15, respectively.

#### **Drawings**

- 3. The drawings are objected to because in figure 15, it appears that LB5 in row 716 should be marked with a "1". See page 22, lines 13-15.
- 4. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended.

r e

Art Unit: 2188

The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

5. Claims 3-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As per claim 3, line 11, --, said location-- should be added before "defined".

As per claim 3, line 11, "said" before "one" should be deleted.

As per claim 3, line 12, "other than that being updated by the host command," should be deleted.

As per claim 4, line 5, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 5, line 2, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 5, line 3, "particular block" should be -- one or more blocks having previously-written sectors of data-- to correspond to lines 11-12 of claim 3.

As per claim 5, line 4, "new block" should be --one or more new blocks".

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 6, line 2, "particular block" should be --one or more blocks having previously-written sectors of data-- to correspond to lines 11-12 of claim 3.

As per claim 6, line 3, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 6, line 3, "particular block" should be --one or more blocks having previously-written sectors of data-- to correspond to lines 11-12 of claim 3.

As per claim 7, line 11, --, said location-- should be added before "defined".

As per claim 7, line 11, "said" before "one" should be deleted.

As per claim 7, line 12, "other than that being updated by the host command," should be deleted.

As per claim 7, line 16, "sector" should be --sectors--.

As per claim 8, line 5, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 9, line 2, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 9, line 3, "particular block" should be --one or more blocks having previously-written sectors of data-- to correspond to lines 11-12 of claim 3.

As per claim 9, line 4, "new block" should be --one or more new blocks".

As per claim 10, line 2, "particular block" should be --one or more blocks having previously-written sectors of data-- to correspond to lines 11-12 of claim 3.

As per claim 10, line 3, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 10, line 3, "particular block" should be --one or more blocks having previously-written sectors of data-- to correspond to lines 11-12 of claim 3.

As per claim 11, line 5, --, said location-- should be added before "defined".

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 11, lines 6-7, "other than that being updated by the host command" should be deleted.

As per claim 12, line 4, "is" should be --are--.

As per claim 13, line 2, "sector" should be --sectors--.

As per claim 15, line 12, "sector" should be --sectors--.

As per claim 15, line 15, --a-- should be added after "by".

As per claim 15, line 16, "the particular sector" should be --the first sector--.

As per claim 15, line 17, "the particular block" should be --the one or more new blocks--.

This corresponds to figure 15 or 18.

As per claim 16, line 14, delete the comma (",") after "if".

As per claim 17, line 13, delete the comma (",") after "if".

All dependent claims are objected to as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2188

7. Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hasbun et al. (5,586,285).

As per claims 2, 3, 7, 11, and 15-17, Hasbun et al. teaches, with reference to figures 1 and 2, a host CPU 52, a solid state disk controller 64 ("controller"), and a FLASH memory array 62 ("nonvolatile memory storage") made up of a plurality of blocks, each comprised of a plurality of sectors (see figure 1; column 2, lines 39-42; and column 16, lines 62-64). Each sector of data within a block is identified by a logical sector number, or LSN. See column 5, lines 10-15.

Hasbun et al. teaches the logical address information locating a particular block and sector within the block, but calls the address information a logical sector number (LSN) instead of a logical block number (where Applicant's LBN is disclosed as identifying a particular block and a particular sector within the block). In Hasbun et al. a LSN is input into a sector header translation table (SHTT) (see figure 4), which outputs a 16 bit physical address consisting of a chip number, block number, and header pointer. See figure 4. The header pointer is used to identify a particular header within the block identified by the output block number, where the header includes a block sector offset (BSO), which points to the start of data (i.e. the physical sector address in the memory) associated with a particular LSN (see column 6, lines 11-23). See also column 9, lines 26-45.

Therefore, each block is identified by a group of logical sector numbers corresponding to the predetermined sectors located within the block, where the logical sector number of Hasbun et al. correspond to the logical block number of Applicant's invention (see the discussion in the previous paragraph).

Art Unit: 2188

With reference to figure 9, for a write operation received form the host to write a sector ("one or more sectors") to the FLASH memory array, the updated sector is written (step 256) to another block (selected by an allocate free physical memory operation, step 250). No other sectors stored in the old block are moved, and the header information for the updated block is modified, as is the sector header translation table to point to the most recent version of the sector data associated with the sector number (where the sector number is the logical sector identifier received from CPU 52, which the CPU 52 believes corresponds to a physical sector; see column 5, lines 13-15) (step 258). Therefore, Hasbun et al. teaches only writing the updated sector without moving the remaining sectors as described in column 16, line 59, to column 17, line 7.

The system updates the SHTT such that the input sector number from the CPU is associated with the new physical location of the most recent version of the data, i.e. the same sector number is used to point to the most recent version of the data for each sector moved ("caused to be identified by said group of logical block addresses"), just the physical address changes in the SHTT. See column 17, lines 17-20

As per claims 4, 8, 12, and 15-17, further write commands to further sectors would result in the process described in figure 9 of Hasbun et al. being repeated.

As per claims 5-6, 9-10, and 13-14, previous sector information will be moved at a later time, such as when the previous sector information is erased during a clean-up operation. See figure 17

Art Unit: 2188

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 10 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 8 that the logical sector number (LSN) of Hasbun et al. identifies a sector and not "one or more blocks" as set forth in the claims (which given its broadest reasonable interpretation "one or more blocks" is "one block"). However, the LSN of Hasbun et al. identifies particular block. First, it is noted that Applicant's disclosed "logical block address" doesn't identify only a block, but also a particular sector within the block. For example, with reference to page 16, lines 8-11, LBA '00' identifies sector 0 in a first block, LBA '15' identifies sector 15 within the first block, LBA '16' identifies sector 0 in a second block, and LBA '31' identifies sector 15 in the second block. It is noted that Applicant has not disclosed a separate logical sector number identifying a particular sector within a block.

Therefore, Applicant's LBA locates a particular sector within a particular block, not just a particular block

Hasbun et al. teaches the logical address information locating a particular block and sector, but calls the address information a logical sector number (LSN) instead of a logical block number. In Hasbun et al. a LSN is input into a sector header translation table (SHTT) (see figure 4), which outputs a 16 bit physical address consisting of a chip number, block number, and header pointer. See figure 4. The header pointer is used to identify a particular header within the block identified by the output block number, where the header includes a block sector offset (BSO), which points to the start of data (i.e. the physical sector address in the memory) associated with a particular LSN (see column 6, lines 11-23). See also column 9, lines 26-45.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/620,544

Art Unit: 2188

With respect to Applicant's arguments that Hasbun et al. doesn't teach writing the updated data to a new block identified by the same logical block address, this is not persuasive. First, it is noted that in allocating new memory for a write, Hasbun et al. teaches checking if there is enough space for the updated data to be written to the same block (column 17, lines 37-40). However Hasbun et al. also teaches storing to a different block if there is enough space in the current block, and this meets the claim limitations of writing to "one or more new blocks".

When a new version (i.e. updated) data is written to a new block (figure 9, after allocating a free physical sector in step 250), the sector header translation table is updated to point to the most recent version of the sector data associated with the sector number (where the sector number is the logical sector identifier received from CPU 52, which the CPU 52 believes corresponds to a physical sector; see column 5, lines 13-15). See column 17, lines 17-20. Therefore, the system updates the SHTT such that the input sector number from the CPU is associated with the new physical location of the most recent version of the data, i.e. the same sector number is used to point to the most recent version of the data, just the physical address changes in the SHTT.

Although Hasbun et al. may teach moving or copying blocks, within the teachings of Hasbun, when writing a sector to the FLASH memory, blocks not being written are not moved or copied (which is what Applicant has claimed). See column 16, line 59, to column 17, line 7.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2188

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

All "OFFICIAL" patent application related correspondence transmitted by FAX must be directed to the central FAX number at (703) 872-9306:

"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" FAX communications may be sent to the Examiner at (703) 746-5693, only after approval by the Examiner.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (receptionist).

Art Unit: 2188

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald G. Bragdon whose telephone number is (703) 305-3823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM and every other Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

The examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan, can be reached at (703) 306-2903.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

RGB June 25, 2004 Reginald G. Bragdon Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 2188

Reginald D. Braghen

Page 11